



Collaborative Stakeholder Group (“CSG”) Workshop 4 Notes

(Day one) 1 July 2014, Oparure Marae, Oparure Road, Te Kuiti 9.30am – 6.30pm

Attendees:

CSG: Alan Fleming (Env/NGO), Chris Keenan (Horticulture) - late, Garry Maskill (Water supply takes), George Moss (Dairy), Gwyneth Verkerk (Community), James Bailey (Sheep and Beef), Matt Makgill (Community), Patricia Fordyce (Forestry), Phil Journeaux (Rural Professionals), Rick Pridmore (Dairy), Ruth Bartlett (Industry), Stephen Colson (Energy), James Houghton (Rural Advocacy), Brian Hanna (Community), Sally Davis (Local Government)

Delegate: Garth Wilcox (Horticulture)

Other: Bill Wasley (Independent Chair), Helen Ritchie (Facilitator), Jo Bromley (WRC), Wendy Boyce (WRC), Janine Hayward (WRC), Will Collin (WRC), Justine Young (WRC), Emma Reed (WRC), Jacqui Henry - part (WRC), Kate McKegg - part (The Knowledge Institute), Debbie Goodwin - part (The Knowledge Institute), Bob Cottrell – part (Awhina Group), Ben Ormsby – part (Maniapoto Maori Trust Board), Cnr Alan Livingston – part (Healthy Rivers/Wai Ora Committee Co-Chair)

Apologies:

CSG: Hone Turner (Community), Evelyn Forrest (Community), Weo Maag (Maori Interests), Ruthana Begbie (Community), Jason Sebastian (Community), Alastair Calder (Tourism and Recreation, Tony Roxburgh (Env/NGO’s), Topia Rameka (Maori Interests), Gina Rangī (Maori Interests), Don Scarlet (Delegate for Tourism/Recreation)

Item	Description	Action
9.30am	<p>Powhiri at Oparure Marae followed by morning tea and a field trip along the Mangapu River Project where a planting project was taking place.</p> <p>Overview of Mangapu River Project from Paul Smith (WRC) Ngahua Herangi from Maniapoto Maori Trust Board (MMTB), with comment from Gary Paki and Ray Cribb (community members/ farmers).</p>	

	<p>CSG returned to the marae at 11.50am to continue discussions on the field trip.</p> <p>This included comment from Mayor Brian Hanna and Cnr Alan Livingston (Healthy Rivers/Wai Ora Committee Co-Chair)</p>	
	<p>Discussion on what the CSG saw on the morning Mangapu River Project field trip and what it means for the CSG task ahead. Points made included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Importance of funding/cost/who should pay? • Where is the best place to start? • The need for information to inform and make decisions • Addressing priority areas • Difference in width of river margin • Community input/working together • Need for planning 	
	<p><u>Presentation from Bob Cottrell (Awhina Management Ltd/Awhina Group)</u></p> <p>Outline of the Awhina Group: shared relationships to foster iwi land management. Individual businesses but come together to share and add scale.</p> <p>Collectively own and operate large areas of farm land, forestry and undeveloped land. Own dairy cows, drystock units, wool producers, lamb producers and also have energy interests.</p> <p>Aim is to grow the scope and the scale of the Awhina group members sustainably with strong core values and environmental focus, while remaining economic.</p> <p>To mitigate losses of nutrients to waterways, one dairy operation (converted), planted 40 hectares of riparian planting, not classed as normal strips, wider and different plants. The topography was 'easy rolling'. The farm also used management plans for fertiliser.</p> <p><u>Discussion points:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grandparenting can restrict undeveloped land holdings (no easy answer) • Range of land uses/responses as well as riparian, nutrient plan and water reticulation to hill country areas • Governance in some holdings (may be underperforming) • Some have yet to intensify (don't want to create second set of injustices if limits are imposed and 	

	<p>allocation is based on current practice)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Māori land owners can't sell and go and can't mortgage/raise capital easily and get no capital gains benefit • Reflections on Taupo: N-trading - some farmers have intensified (who had the money) • Would have liked to have seen a holistic catchment approach <p>1.10pm Bob Cottrell departs End of field trip and reflections on field trip part of the day.</p>	
<p>1pm – Lunch 1.45pm</p>		
	<p>Formal opening of workshop.</p> <p>Apologies noted:</p> <p>Hone Turner (Community), Evelyn Forrest (Community), Weo Maag (Maori Interests), Ruthana Begbie (Community), Jason Sebastian (Community), Alastair Calder (Tourism and Recreation, Tony Roxburgh (Env/NGO's), Topia Rameka (Maori Interests), Gina Rangi (Maori Interests), Don Scarlet (Delegate for Tourism/Recreation)</p> <p>Alternates in attendance: Garth Wilcox</p>	
<p>1.</p>	<p><u>Overview of two day workshop:</u></p> <p><u>What will change involve for people and the river?</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Learning about social, economic and cultural aspects and policy context <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maniapoto values and context • Mangapu field trip • CSG members' input: sector/ community fears and aspirations – use this to refine our draft Focus Statement • Learning about land users' choices: drystock grazing practices research • Policy context: NPS/ national directions for freshwater, what is required in a Regional Plan, other Councils' approaches. 2. Learning about the river and catchment/technical input <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How to approach sub-catchments (e.g. for setting objectives and limits) • Focus on Waipa water quality (link to Mangapu field trip) • How different information/ knowledge will be used • Use of modelling and timing of science inputs 	

2.

Chair's Opening Statement:

Independent Chair Bill Wasley noted that he recently attended the Healthy Rivers Wai Ora Committee meeting.

Summary points from the committee:

- The CSG led Community Engagement Plan was presented – how to engage with those not on the CSG.
- The 'Focus Statement' was presented supported
- There was a conference call between Bill and the co-chairs of committee yesterday and this will become a regular occurrence.
- CSG members are welcome to attend the committee meeting
- Committee co-chairs (Cnr Livingston and Roger Pikia), along with TLG Chair Bryce Cooper and CSG Chair Bill Wasley to communicate regularly.
- The CSG decision making process was discussed with the committee

Cnr Alan Livingston (co-chair of Healthy Rivers Wai Ora Committee) noted the following:

- How the CSG make decisions/reach a resolution and how this is recorded is important as the committee are relying on advice that CSG are providing and from TRH.
- Understand the challenge ahead and appreciate work the CSG is doing.
- Want to improve on what other regions are putting in place.
- Economic views, iwi values are important.
- CSG workshop notes are available on website and the committee are reading those.
- Apologies from fellow co-chair Roger Pikia.

Both Te Rōpū Hautū and the Healthy Rivers Committee would like to be involved in the CSG field days/discussions as appropriate.

Te Rōpū Hautū (TRH) is the project steering group made up of river iwi and Waikato Regional Council executive staff and the Waikato River Authority (non-voting). TRH meets every six weeks.

The relationship between the co-governors, community representatives and maori business interests will develop over the course of the project through support of the Tangata Whenua Engagement Plan and reported through to the committee.

Concern noted regarding a parallel consultative process

	<p>occurring during the project and how that will be bought together.</p> <p>The committee understands that the policy team at WRC will work with CSG and help formulate decisions that will influence the plan change. The CSG play a vital role. It is understood that the CSG will sign off on the recommendations for the plan change. A decision making framework is critical as is translating of the technical information into the framework. There will be challenges as everyone works through this.</p> <p>The CSG welcome co-chairs to attend on a standing basis the field trip section of the CSG workshop and the discussion after. The CSG will agenda particular sessions at times for the committee to attend.</p> <p>2.20pm Cnr Alan Livingston departs</p>	
3.	<p><u>Maniapoto Maori Context – Ben Ormsby</u></p> <p>Presentation from Ben Ormsby (Maniapoto Maori Trust Board) outlining the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maniapoto Maori Trust Board (MMTB) set up under Trust Board Act 1988 to represent Maniapoto iwi • Interests in upper Waipa. Interests shared with Waikato Tainui in the lower parts of the Waipa catchment (below Puniu). Some significant sites and relationships there for Maniapoto. • Deep sense of connection with river. • Nga Wai o Maniapoto (Waipa River) Act 2012: Restore and maintain the quality and integrity of the waters that flow into and form part of the Waipa river for present and future generations. • A lot of water underground in this area, Waitomo caves, which feed into the Waipa • Obligation for MMTB is to pass on to future generations. • The Waipa Rivers and streams are of deep cultural significance regardless of boundaries. <p>There are currently three main projects that MMTB are working on:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Maniapoto special project (a joint project with Ministry for the Environment) 2. Waipa catchment plan (still being written); and the 3. Upper Waikato Integrated River Management Plan. <p>Maniapoto are also producing a new Iwi Environmental</p>	<p>Question for staff working on the Waipa catchment plan; and/or Technical Leaders Group: Is there a stand alone cost for cleaning up from the headwaters to the zone catchment?</p>

	<p>Management Plan, to replace their existing one done in 2007.</p> <p>Waikato Regional Independent Scoping Study: http://www.mfe.govt.nz/publications/treaty/waikato-river-scoping-study/wriss-final-report.pdf</p> <p>Evaluators arrive 3pm</p>	
3.15 – 3.45pm	Afternoon tea	
4.	<p><u>Evaluators – Kate McKegg, Debbie Goodwin (The Knowledge Institute) and Jacqui Henry (WRC)</u></p> <p>Items covered:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review of questionnaire • Interview follow up with CSG members – adding some more in depth detail • Review of confidentiality agreement • Evaluation work programme (timeline and tasks to December 2014), design and approach from Jan 15 onwards. <p>CSG members will receive an email with a link on it to complete a survey on or before 8 July. CSG members to complete the survey as soon as possible. Early findings will be reported to the CSG Chair for discussion.</p> <p>Comments are confidential. The evaluators will analyse comments, look for themes and will highlight areas that the group will discuss together.</p> <p>Minor changes were made to the questionnaire by the CSG.</p> <p>The evaluators will carry out in depth interviews to supplement the questionnaires. These will be carried out in a rotational sample. CSG members will receive a phone call before 15 July to set up 30 minutes to have discussion. By June 2015 all CSG members would have had opportunity to be interviewed.</p> <p><u>Confidentiality agreement outlined:</u></p> <p>Minor changes made to the confidentiality agreement by the CSG.</p> <p>CSG members opted to individually sign confidentiality agreements.</p> <p>A summary report will be sent to the committee at end of the first six months. Any subsequent reports are still to be confirmed.</p>	<p>Kate McKegg to explore requirements of LGOIMA.</p> <p>Each CSG member to sign a confidentiality agreement. (Janine Hayward)</p> <p>Evaluation of the LAW process place on portal</p> <p>Key evaluator dates to be added to portal</p>

	<p>If there are issues identified in the survey, the CSG will have an opportunity to review the report and include recommendations/ how the CSG intends to fix any problems.</p> <p>Evaluators depart 4.30pm</p>	
Agreement and Approval Session		
5.	<p><u>Confirm meeting notes from CSG3:</u></p> <p><u>Resolution:</u></p> <p>The workshop notes from CSG3 were approved by the group subject to the following amendments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Restructuring of workshop notes with resolutions at the end. • CSG members noted that they prefer to come back to workshop with matters raised or prior to workshop instead of working through full minutes at workshop. • Change text to following regarding water harvesting discussion during V6 presentation: <p><i>“Water harvesting <u>is</u> (where the water flow is so high <u>is greater than the median flow</u> and people can take water and store it for use later) <u>but</u> is only available above <u>below</u> Lake Karapiro.</i></p> <p><i>if Mighty River Power cannot use all the water coming down the River i.e they are spilling water over the dams instead of running it through their systems. . This It is a rare event (2-3 times every 10 – 15 years) for Mighty River Power to spill water through all of the dams in the Waikato Hydro Scheme.”</i></p> <p>Gwyneth Verkerk/Rick Pridmore Carried</p>	
	<p><u>Approval and Agreement Reports – CSG Representation and sector seat absences</u></p> <p>Reports tabled by Wendy Boyce. Feedback to be received from CSG members at the conclusion of the workshop so can be included in the report to Te Rōpū Hautū.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Due to other commitments CSG members Ruthana Begbie, Tony Roxburgh, and Topia Rameka have resigned and replacements are being sought. <p>The CSG reflected on the absence of the members noted above. The CSG strongly feel there is a need to have iwi</p>	<p>The CSG communications plan will be circulated early August for discussion at CSG5.</p>

interests participating in the CSG conversations.

The CSG discussed the tangata whenua engagement plan and are unsure how the views of iwi will be adequately captured in the CSG discussions.

CSG Chair to attend TRH on 17 July 2014 and take back recommendations from CSG.

The CSG also noted it is important the Environmental/NGO's are fully represented in the process.

Recommendations to be considered:

Agreement and Approval Report: Community representatives – support, delegates and replacements- Doc # 3059420

Recommendations:

1. That the report “Community representatives – support, delegates and replacements” (Doc 3095606 dated 23 June 2014) be received for information.
2. That the Collaborative Stakeholder Group agrees upon and approves the following recommendations for supporting and/or replacing community representatives on the CSG:
 - i. A ‘technical primer’ for those new to the field at CSG5 (optional for others)
 - ii. Provision of printed material (leaflets, presentations, photocopying service) on request
 - iii. CSG member-to-member support and advice
 - iv. Create specific speaking opportunities in CSG workshops for community reps
 - v. Staff support/advice – phone/in-person
 - vi. Ensure community seat holders are taking full benefit of the Honorarium Policy
 - vii. Frequently Asked Questions page on website
 - viii. Car pooling to workshop venues
 - ix. Subscription to the e-newsletter on the website will relieve some of the pressure on community seat holders to communicate.
 - x. The community engagement plan will provide opportunities for input of the broader community into the project, relieving some of the pressure on community seat holder to consult.
3. That the CSG do not appoint delegates or replacements for the community seat holders.
4. That the CSG recognise that some level of attrition of the community seat holders is inevitable as volunteers in an intensive process.
5. That the CSG address information gaps that this creates by inviting speakers, targeted consultation

	<p>and specific requests to the Technical Leaders Group (TLG).</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. That CSG member's provide feedback on this paper at the conclusion of the workshop. 7. That these recommendations be forwarded to Te Rōpū Hautū for feedback and reported back to CSG5 on 14/15 August. 8. That further discussion occurs in the CSG on the topic of providing technical support to CSG members in their own community engagement activity. <p>Agreement and Approval Report: CSG Sector seat absences or vacancies - Doc # 3059420:</p> <p>Recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That CSG member's provide feedback on this paper to the Chairman by 8 July. 2. That these recommendations be forwarded to Te Rōpū Hautū for feedback and reported back to CSG5 on 14/15 August. <p>These two reports have been combined into a presentation (Recommendations Doc# 3104693) and will be reviewed by the CSG during day two of the workshop.</p>	
Facilitation Session		
6.	<p><u>Healthy Rivers Wai Ora Committee - Feedback</u></p> <p>The CSG led Community Engagement Plan and CSG Focus Statement was received by the Committee. Support was noted for the Focus Statement.</p> <p><i>All reports publicly available on website.</i></p>	<p>CSG Chair to provide the CSG committee report and this to go on portal (and other reports).</p>
7.	<p><u>Feedback from our networks and sharing sector/community fears</u></p> <p>The group noted who they had communicated with/what meetings they had attended in the past few months and any issues arising from these.</p> <p><u>Summary of hot topics/emerging issues:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applying for N discharge or pre-empting what's going to happen e.g. grandparenting anticipation (inflating current discharge) • Iwi engagement - parallel process, feeding in as opposed to being in the dialogue and Env/NGO voices at the table • Economic cost • Diversity of perspectives/voices in sectors 	<p>Paper to CSG5 on applying for consents to get allocation of nitrogen before Plan change).</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fairness between different people • Being able to maintain (at least)/grow current activity 	
	<p><u>Communication – overall approach with consulting with networks:</u></p> <p><u>Process of getting feedback summary points:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Key points captured from CSG workshops summarised into format with enough details for members to distribute to their sectors. Suggestion to use the Healthy Rivers e-newsletter. Possibly consider a facebook page <p><u>Focus Statement</u> CSG looked at the draft Focus Statement and made the following minor change:</p> <p>‘To come up with limits, timelines and practical options for managing contaminants and discharges into the Waikato and Waipa catchments to ensure our rivers and lakes are safe to swim in and take food from, support healthy biodiversity and provide for social, economic and cultural wellbeing.’</p>	Investigate a CSG facebook page (Jackie Fitchman)
6.30pm	Karakia and workshop closed by Helen Ritchie at 6.10pm	



Collaborative Stakeholder Group (“CSG”) Workshop 4 Notes

(Day two) 2 July 2014, Oparure Marae, Oparure Road, Te Kuiti 8.45am – 4pm

Attendees:

CSG: Alan Fleming (Env/NGO), Garry Maskill (Water supply takes), George Moss (Dairy), Gwyneth Verkerk (Community), James Bailey (Sheep and Beef), Matt Makgill (Community), Phil Journeaux (Rural Professionals), Rick Pridmore (Dairy), Ruth Bartlett (Industry), Stephen Colson (Energy), Brian Hanna (Community), Chris Keenan (Horticulture), Patricia Fordyce (Forestry), Sally Davis (Local Government), Weo Maag (Maori Interests), James Houghton (Rural Advocacy)

Delegates: Don Scarlet (Delegate from Tourism and Recreation), Garth Wilcox (Delegate for Horticulture), Angus Judge (Delegate for Energy)

Other: Bill Wasley (Independent Chair), Helen Ritchie (Facilitator), Jo Bromley (WRC), Wendy Boyce (WRC), Janine Hayward (WRC), Will Collin (WRC), Justine Young (WRC), Vicki Carruthers – part (WRC), James Whetu – part (WRC), Tracey May – part (WRC)

TLG: Dr Bryce Cooper, Dr Mike Scarsbrook, Dr Liz Wedderburn, Antoine Coffin, Dr Graeme Doole, Dr John Quinn

Apologies:

CSG: Hone Turner (Community), Topia Rameka (Maori Interests), Jason Sebastian (Community), Alastair Calder (Tourism and Recreation), Evelyn Forrest (Community), Ruthana Begbie (Community), Tony Roxburgh (Env/NGO's), Gina Rangi (Maori Interests), Gayle Leaf (Community)

TLG: Dr Tony Petch

Item	Description	Action
7.30am	Karakia	
8.45am	Hirere Moana, Perry Taituha and Gloria Taituha provided a brief overview of the history of Oparure Marae and the area, including some significant ancestors and historical events, features of local water bodies, the symbolism of the tukutuku panels in the wharenuī and the commitment of the local	

	weavers to preserving the customary practices of weaving.	
9.30am	<p>Apologies:</p> <p>Evelyn Forrest, Hone Turner, Alastair Calder, Jason Sebastian. Other: Tony Roxburgh, Ruthana Begbie, Topia Rameka, Gayle Leaf, Gina Rangi.</p> <p>Delegates in attendance: Don Scarlet (Tourism and Recreation), Angus Judge (Energy), Garth Wilcox (Horticulture).</p>	
10.	<p><u>What drives drystock farmer practice? Presentation from Justine Young (WRC)</u></p> <p>A survey was carried out to find out what farmers were doing on their land with over 400 responses. This research provides a helpful background about practices and what farmers were saying guided their decisions.</p> <p>Three phases to the survey:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • semi structured interview to identify themes • large scale phone survey; and • crunching the numbers (testing some of the identified relationships). <p>Each farm is different and is a complex operation with many options.</p> <p><u>Reflections from CSG members on drystock grazing:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No “one size fits all” approach (no blanket rule) • Look at stock, land types, soil type e.g. if soil type on hills is free draining, better to have stock on hills if wet • Can create a farm plan to guide • Make decisions based on what stock to run, need for progeny, help to manage pasture, best suit overall for a breeding operation • Land use capability is important for evaluating what stock to graze. Find liveweight/ha that suits that land class – affects stocking rate for a given land class • Also consider weather conditions /time of year • Can use feed demand curves to manage stocking • No controls on sediment from pastoral farming to date • Phosphorus, sediment and microbes run off land. (Nitrogen tends to run through the soil) • Needing attitudinal change, not role • Do we have to break down which types of agriculture produce greatest loads? • Relates to areas of different types of land use • Also relevant is behavioural factor of stock, cattle like water. Relevant to type of fencing and cost • Also water reticulation if excluding stock – putting troughs on ridges may attract stock away from waterways 	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Research from Whatawhata to be reviewed 	
10.15am	Morning tea Technical Leaders Group arrive - welcome	
11.	<p><u>Characteristics of sub - catchments</u></p> <p>Mapping session for CSG members to note down characteristics of the Waipa catchment, including land uses, uses of the water and values, what lives in the water, discharges and water quality.</p>	
12.	<p><u>Other council approaches – Presentation from Justine Young (WRC)</u></p> <p>Overview of other council approaches and an introduction to planning. Recognise that regional plans have changed and changed what's been expected.</p> <p>CSG members contributed their experiences from other councils.</p>	
13.	<p><u>TLG Input – Presentation from Dr Bryce Cooper (Chair of TLG)</u></p> <p>The TLG outlined their approach and thinking about their roles. They have held two meetings to date.</p> <p>TLG approach –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Desired values (e.g. those in Focus Statement) What are the attribute levels to achieve those values? (For the four contaminants) - , what are existing guidelines to meet the desired values. Scenarios and how they might meet the values through a range of different scenarios. This is where modelling comes in. Deliberations. This will be an iterative process, allowing for back and forth discussions. <p>The draft focus statement from CSG helped TLG understand what the CSG are after, but also raises questions i.e. will the values be met everywhere and all of the time? On what spatial scale do you put the limits? i.e. catchment, both catchments? Further upstream? Or various points upstream. What happens where water quality is better than it needs to be (can it decline?)</p> <p>Values and attribute limits – recognise the connectedness. 'Look after the veins that feed the awa and you will look after the awa itself.' Rivers are a reflection of their catchments.</p> <p>In summary the approach:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is sequential not simultaneous (mostly) Is iterative rather than once through Needs more technical work on defining limits to meet CSG values 	<p>Technical Question: What is the optimal riparian margin width for different purposes; biodiversity, reducing nutrients, reducing sediment, keeping stock out, beautification? Acknowledging differences in topography and soil types. (TLG/Vicki Carruthers)</p> <p>Technical Question: Do we have the knowledge to break down the types of farming as to their effects on the four contaminants? (TLG/Vicki Carruthers)</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requires more scenario modelling, targeted to the needs of the CSG • Is complex, requires the bringing together of diverse technical work across market and non market values to provide a clear options analysis • Will require decisions to be made where there are areas of uncertainty • Will take time <p><u>Recommended sources of information:</u></p> <p>Waikato Regional Independent Scoping Study: http://www.mfe.govt.nz/publications/treaty/waikato-river-scoping-study/wriss-final-report.pdf</p> <p>Waikato Regional Council website: http://www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/Environment/Natural-resources/Water/Rivers/http://www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/</p> <p><u>Summary points:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regarding the timeline, the TLG will digest what happens today before they can comment further. • Modelling and scenarios can take time and the timeframe is a challenge. • The TLG modelling itself might fit the timeline (6-9 months) but the issue is that the CSG need the results early (earlier the better). • Rushing can make it confusing. Identification of values to feed into modelling is important. • When we have an over allocated catchment – everything is unpalatable. Having the science to back up the decision takes time. • The group are mindful of the Large Stakeholder Forum in October. This would be good time to share some technical information with public. • It is important to ensure iwi and tangata whenua presence during the values discussion, including discussion with the mātauranga specialist on TLG. <p>James Whetu (WRC) was invited to take up the matters raised on the previous day.</p> <p><u>Summary points:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Values from certain tangata whenua consultation are for a certain purpose - if used for another purpose there may be disharmony. • Collaboration is a unique process. Trying to inform and scope out issue at present and follow the pace of CSG. • Discussion with Mr Antoine Coffin (TLG) regarding matauranga Maori still to be held. This is a learning process. We are trying to keep the integrity of co-management process. 	Carruthers)
--	--	-------------

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The CSG has been presented with information from the TLG, but we are working on how tangata whenua feed in and understand what been said. We are working to bridge the gap. It is important to work as a team and demonstrate that collective approach. It is also difficult to talk about principles in a technical environment. 	
1.20pm	Lunch TLG depart	
14.	<p><u>Overall project approach</u></p> <p><u>Summary notes:</u></p> <p>A timeline was laid out showing the location and dates of the next four CSG workshops, and some suggested content areas to cover. The CSG members made the following comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Timeframe critical – need to progress Where do we focus first? Waipa as it's in worse condition? What does swimmable and fishable mean? Most swimming done in Waikato downstream of Waipa – areas less likely to meet guidelines for swimmable water. What further work do we need to do on values? Social and economic values will be important in balancing, and will be discussed in more detail as we look at the range of objectives/ limits and their implications, iteratively. Need better understanding of what parts of catchments contributes what. Is this what the TLG does? If we ask TLG we want catchments swimmable 98% of the time – what would we need to do to achieve this? We need to confirm how we want to break up catchments, and look at the issues in the catchments. How fast can we get through them? Prefer to work at this intensively. Need to ensure we understand tangata whenua values and priorities – look at what is in the Iwi Environmental Management Plans Maori farming interests also have vital information to add to this (e.g. Raukawa held forum for iwi farmers). How to quantify these values? Rather than look at swimmable, fishable and then healthy biodiversity separately, better to look at them all for each sub-catchment. Look at each of the sub-catchments – what are the issues and problems in these areas? How should we create sub-catchments? Do we use iwi 	<p>TLG responses to questions asked at CSG workshops (first questions are available on the portal). The second questions are being worked through at present (part of process design). TLG to advise timeframe for availability.</p> <p>Request to view Joint Economic Venture Study. TLG have been given access to report on confidential basis.</p> <p>Put Iwi Environmental Management Plans on portal for CSG.</p>

	<p>boundaries? i.e. Tuwharetoa, TARIT, Raukawa, Maniapoto, Waikato Tainui boundaries.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On what basis was the catchment committee zones set up? Management zones for the river (soil conservation and river control purposes) • Suggestion it may be best to keep boundaries that WRC uses for monitoring to make best use of data – keep it simple. • Suggestion to split into more than 4 units. Benefits especially to break the Waipa down into smaller units to assist in understanding and managing significant inputs from this catchment. Possibly start at top of catchment and work down, but aware this may create impacts at lower end. • Possible split point at Karapiro (upstream and downstream have different characteristics). 	
15.	<p><u>Wrap up session</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Possible bus trip to visit energy and industry sector – 29 August 2014. Put placeholder in diaries. Suggestion to invite TRH, Healthy Rivers Wai Ora Committee • Evaluators confidentially agreement (CSG to sign forms) • Next CSG workshop (CSG6) will be in Hamilton with a visit to the local drinking water plant and wastewater treatment plant. <p><u>Agreement and Approval section – continued from day one:</u></p> <p>Amendments to the recommendations as per reports tabled on day one of CSG4:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. (Agreement and Approval Report - CSG Community representatives Doc 3095606; and 2. Agreement and Approval Report CSG Sector seat vacancies or absences Doc: 3096426): <p>Recommendations:</p> <p>Community Reps Support Report (slide 2)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • That the report “Community representatives – support, delegates and replacements”(Doc 3095606 dated 23 June 2014) be received for information. • That the Collaborative Stakeholder Group agrees upon and approves the following recommendations for supporting and/or replacing community representatives on the CSG: • A ‘technical primer’ for those new to the field at CSG5 (optional for others) • Provision of printed material (leaflets, presentations, photocopying service) on request 	<p>Amended focus statement to include rivers and lakes instead and take out ‘they’</p> <p>Investigate any Maori cultural values information. (Vicki Carruthers)</p>

- CSG member-to-member support and advice

Community Reps Support Report (slide 3)

- Create specific speaking opportunities in CSG workshops for community reps
- Staff support/advice – phone/in-person
- Ensure community seat holders are taking full benefit of the Honorarium Policy
- Frequently Asked Questions page on website
- Car pooling to workshop venues
- Subscription to the e-newsletter on the website will relieve some of the pressure on community seat holders to communicate.
- The community engagement plan will provide opportunities for input of the broader community into the project, relieving some of the pressure on community seat holder to consult.

Community Reps Support Report (slide 4)

- That the CSG do not appoint delegates or replacements for the community seat holders.
- That the CSG recognise that some level of attrition of the community seat holders is inevitable as volunteers in an intensive process.
- That the CSG address information gaps that this creates by inviting speakers, targeted consultation and specific requests to the Technical Leaders Group (TLG).
- That CSG members provide feedback on this paper to the Chairman by 8 July.
- That these recommendations be forwarded to Te Rōpū Hautū for feedback and reported back to CSG5 on 14/15 August.
- That further discussion occurs in the CSG on the topic of providing technical support to CSG members in their own community engagement activity.

Sector seat absences or vacancies (slide 5)

- That Te Ropu Hautu arrange for replacement sector group representatives for those who have resigned, as a matter of urgency (Enviro/NGO, Community, Maori Interests)
- That Te Ropu Hautu undertake discussions with those representatives who have been appointed but have not attended or attended intermittently, given the opportunity offered by the CSG process, to encourage attendance

That the following CSG concerns be noted (slide 6)

- The undertaking of parallel Tangata Whenua and Community Engagement processes and that there is

potential for a lack of understanding of the links between each group (community and tangata whenua) and a consequential lack of integration of input and agreement being reached

- That at some point (perhaps by CSG6) that the CSG collaborative processes will be at least a third of the way through and that any new members attending CSG at that stage would be problematic as they would be at a significant disadvantage in terms of information on awareness and understanding of process and issues.

Other (slide 7)

- How does the CSG information get fed into the Tangata Whenua engagement process
- Need for iwi, non-monetary values to be fed into the CSG process
- Strong desire for Iwi/Tangata Whenua to be represented at the CSG.

Community Engagement Plan (slide 8)

HR WO committee response:

- No specific changes to the Community Engagement Plan
- Keen to ensure wider community input and that the wider community is brought along on the journey
- The committee wants to identify appropriate opportunities to be involved in the community engagement plan
- Noted it's a team effort between all parties

Community Engagement Plan (slide 9)

Recommendations:

- Final confirmation of the Community Engagement Plan (noting that the implementation timeline is dynamic).
- Confirmation that the Community Engagement Plan can be publicly available and able to be distributed to CSG networks.

As below:

- Amendment: Slide 4, bullet point 1: No delegates for community reps but replace seats

**Rick Pridmore/Sally Davis
Carried**

- Amendment: Slide 5, bullet point 2: Include some cut off point for attendance

**Ruth Bartlett/Don Scarlett
Carried**

- Amendment: Slide 6, bullet point 2: remove 'perhaps' so that it's just by CSG6

**Chris Keenan/Stephen Colson
Carried**

- Amendment: Slide 7, bullet point 3: change representation to participation. Input the word 'need' to highlight the importance of this bullet point.
- Amendment: Slide 9: Add in a 3rd bullet point along the lines of "That effective communication to the community is carried out on an ongoing basis"

**Sally Davis/George Moss
Carried**

General amendments/comments

- It is important to share conversations with iwi/tangata whenua at a CSG level
- Participation – not necessarily representation
- Need to get a message back to non attending members that they are important for the process.
- Some suggestions from CSG members for ways of filling seats:
 - Put it back to sectors to organise replacements
 - Offer from the National Wetland Trust to find a solution for Tony Roxburgh seat
 - Some CSG members suggested going back to the original nomination forms

Summary points to share with networks/sectors:

- Visited Waipa catchment (hosted at Oparure Marae - Ngati Kinohaku)
- Getting a good understanding of issues and challenges
- Understanding of differences between drystock and dairy farming
- Increasing understanding of tangata whenua values and what's important
- Progressively exploring values out of Vision & Strategy and considering whether we need to identify further values with the broader community. CSG have documented a working set of values.
- The CSG heard about catchment plan under development
- The CSG heard about other councils' approaches to

	<p>regional planning for diffuse discharges</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continuing our dialogue with the Technical Leaders Group; • and developing a structure for our process to address our tasks 	
<p>Meeting closed by Helen Ritchie at 4pm. Karakia and thank kitchen staff/ hosts at Oparure Marae.</p>		

APPROVED